

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ದೇವ್.—ಎಲ್ಲ ನೇರೆಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಅಧ್ಯಪಾಠಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಿ.

**MR. SPEAKER.**—Now with regard to Ugadi, I think we considered it and it was discussed with the Minister for Finance in the Business Advisory Committee and also at the Tea Table. We had informal discussions and we discussed—suppose we sit one day extra, one holiday and try to pack up adjusting the work—and even then found it was not possible for all to go to their homes. It was possible for a few to go, and therefore five days adjournment at one stretch, it was expressly expressed as difficult. Therefore, it was dropped. After that it has again been revived by some Hon'ble Members. What can I do? Members should agree among themselves and suggest something. I have no objection. I will be very happy to accommodate and I want to respect the wishes of the members. Why not you do it after tea break? Or members may go to the lounge, sit and discuss and come to some conclusion. But how can I resolve it on the floor of the House?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ, ನ್ಯಾಯಿ. ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಸಲಹೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ. ಇದು ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಹಣ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲರ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸೇರಿಮಾರಾರ, ಮಂಗಳವಾರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಕೋಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪದನ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು. Now there are one or two matters which I wish to deal with. Yesterday Sri Lakkappa wanted to raise a matter of privilege on a letter written to him by a member of the Legislative Council. Of course, I said that I was not quite sure whether it comes within the ambit of privilege and I would consider and deal with it. Still having regard to the importance of the matter, I did not desire to shut it out completely so that the matter may be appraised by the Minister for Health or anybody that was concerned about it. Now I have gone into the matter, and I would like Sri Lakkappa to satisfy me and the House as to how this question becomes a matter of privilege. Privilege is attached either to a member of the Legislature or the House as much, and the Hon'ble Member whose name he has mentioned is a member of the Legislative Council. Is the privilege attached to him or the Council? I go a step further and try to reason out to show his conclusions may be wrong. Suppose I am to entertain that it is a breach of privilege, I have to deal with it, permit it and send it or refer it to the privileges committee. To which privilege committee should I send it? I believe there is a Privileges Committee in the Legislative Council. I have no jurisdiction over it. Does not the Hon'ble Member Sri Lakkappa think that it is a matter of privilege, if at all, for Sri Anjanappa to raise it in the Council, have it referred to by the Chairman to the Privileges Committee of the Council and pursue it? Can I entertain a privilege motion on this? Did the Hon'ble Member bestow attention and thought on this before he raised the point?

(*Sri Lakkappa stood up to speak*)

Let him think it over. I will hold it over. I request the Hon'ble Member to make a study of the matter and tell me tomorrow. Tomorrow means next working day, or even tomorrow if he comes to my house.

**Sri S. SIVAPPA.**—Regarding this question, of course it has to be studied deeply to know if there is privilege of a member of the Council. But the other aspect to be considered is that legislators' Home and guest houses where the members are lodged come under.....

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will deal with it. It is being brought to my notice as a person in charge of the amenities of the members. Further, Sri G. V. Anjanappa, M. L. C. holds a high position in public and therefore it has to be brought before the House not for the purpose of privilege motion but it has to be done by way of Calling Attention, Question or in other forms. We will deal with it.

Another matter is Sri S. Gopala Gowda has sent a petition. There is a petition submitted to the Mysore Legislative Assembly by the Mysore State Non-Gazetted Employees Association under rule 123 sub-rule (iii) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the House on 18-3-1966. I might incidentally mention in order that the matters may be very clear and no body need have any misapprehensions that yesterday evening a large number of persons came with plenty of papers and wanted to present them to me in my capacity as Speaker of the Assembly. At that time they desired and I also agreed that certain members of the legislature might be present because the members of the legislature are entitled at all times to come to the Speaker for proper performance of their duty and ask for guidance or make representations they like and that should be only consistent with other factors. Then they were there, it was given by them. I have them in my chambers because I found them to be defective. They do not conform to the rules that are framed by the Assembly for the guidance in regard to presentation of such petitions and the forms to be observed and particulars to be complied with. The one that has been presented today by Sri S. Gopala Gowda, conforms to the rules and I have got it with me. I shall have it examined and the only course is to refer it to the Committee on Petitions so that the Committee may give its report after which the matter can be dealt with. As for the other papers I have with me, I am unable even to produce them before the House because they do not comply with the rules. The House might remember that yesterday almost an analogous thing happened. Some hon'ble member wanted to present a certain paper as petition under the rules. Certain other members supported it and I attempted to tell them that it was not so. I do not know whether it is in accordance with the rules. The rules mention that a member cannot make a petition to the Assembly because only strangers can do it. A member has a higher and superior status.

**Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO** (Mysore City).—Sir, what the rule says is that he cannot present for himself. If it is on behalf of a party or anybody, he can do.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I ask whether it complies with the rules? How can I receive if that does not comply with the rules? There should be certain things. Sri Gopala Gowda has looked into the rules and complied with the formalities. Why is it that Sri Gopala Gowda alone should do it? Cannot other members also do it?